

NWPP-MC EIM/SCED MARKET PROJECT

“EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR DECISION MAKERS”

Energy Northwest Member Forum
October 23, 2014

BILL GAINES, DIRECTOR/CEO, TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES
CO-CHAIR, NWPP-MC EIM/SCED PROJECT



COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Costs are relatively “knowable”

- RFP for EIM/SCED Market Operator
- Participant costs

Benefits are less certain

- Modeling limitations (hydro)
- Tension between voluntary participation, maintaining current roles, and diversity benefits

RISKS

Potential regulatory creep

- Do “assurances” from FERC adequately protect regional/local control?

Potential scope creep

- Does governance structure adequately protect against evolution into a day-ahead market, capacity market, RTO, etc?

EFFECTS ON BPA POWER AND TRANSMISSION CUSTOMERS

How are the costs/benefits/risks flowed through to LSEs, GOPs, etc?

- **BPA customer outreach/ROD process**

What product, contract or operational changes may be required of BPA customers?

ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS

What happens absent broad participation in an NWPP-MC EIM/SCED market?

- **Status quo (?)**
- **Enhanced status quo**
- **Partial participation in NWPP-MC EIM/SCED**
- **Expansion of Cal ISO**